



Summary

New Zealand prior to the crisis seems to have developed a social convention where the government does the saving, leaving spending to households and firms (making New Zealand the exact opposite of Japan in this regard). This convention has been changed by the impact of the crisis (and recent earthquakes) on the public balance, pushing it solidly into deficit. Hence fiscal consolidation is needed to relieve pressure on the external deficit and help rebalance New Zealand to at least stop the increase in international indebtedness.

Fortunately, significant measures have already been adopted to rebalance the economy (among which bank funding restrictions and a GST rate hike), whilst the more favourable external environment is expected to alleviate the economic pain of this adjustment. A failure to achieve structural adjustment in the public budget balance and/or significant (re-)widening in the current account deficit would make the economy vulnerable to future bouts of financial market nervousness.

Things to watch:

- The net international (short-term) debt position of the banking sector
- The extent of fiscal consolidation to relieve the external deficit
- The impact of monetary policy tightening on household mortgage rates

Author: **Allard Bruinshoofd**
International Macro Economic Research
Economic Research Department
Rabobank Nederland

Contact details: P.O.Box 17100, 3500 HG Utrecht, The Netherlands
+31-(0)30-21-63272
W.A.Bruinshoofd@rn.rabobank.nl

| New Zealand | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|---------|-------------|
| National facts | | | Social and governance indicators | | |
| Type of government | Parliamentary democracy | | Human Development Index (rank) | 3 / 169 | |
| Capital | Wellington | | Ease of doing business (rank) | 3 / 183 | |
| Surface area (thousand sq km) | 267 | | Economic freedom index (rank) | 4 / 179 | |
| Population (millions) | 4.3 | | Corruption perceptions index (rank) | 1 / 178 | |
| Main languages | English (91.2%) | | Press freedom index (rank) | 8 / 178 | |
| | Maori (3.9%) | | Gini index (income distribution) | 36.2 | |
| Main religions | Anglican (13.8%) | | Population below \$1.25 per day (PPP) | N/A | |
| | Roman Catholic (12.6%) | | | | |
| | Reformed (10%) | | Foreign trade | | |
| Head of State (president) | Anand Satyanand | | Main export partners (%) | | 2009 |
| Head of Government (prime-minister) | John Key | | Main import partners (%) | | |
| Monetary unit | NZD | | Australia | 23 | Australia |
| | | | Japan | 10 | China |
| | | | US | 9 | US |
| | | | China | 7 | Japan |
| Economy | | | 2010 | | |
| Economic size | | | Main export products (%) | | |
| | <i>bn USD</i> | <i>% world total</i> | | | |
| Nominal GDP | 140 | 0.23 | Dairy products | 20 | |
| Nominal GDP at PPP | 120 | 0.16 | Meat products | 13 | |
| Export value of goods and services | 41 | 0.22 | Forestry products | 6 | |
| IMF quatum (in mln SDR) | 895 | 0.41 | | | |
| Economic structure | | | Main import products (%) | | |
| | 2010 | 5-year av. | | | |
| Real GDP growth | 1.7 | 1.6 | Mineral fuels | 14 | |
| Agriculture (% of GDP) | 5 | 5 | Machinery & electrical equipment | 13 | |
| Industry (% of GDP) | 25 | 26 | Transport equipment | 10 | |
| Services (% of GDP) | 71 | 69 | | | |
| Standards of living | | | Openness of the economy | | |
| | <i>USD</i> | <i>% world av.</i> | 2010 | | |
| Nominal GDP per head | 32014 | 325 | Export value of G&S (% of GDP) | 29 | |
| Nominal GDP per head at PPP | 27353 | 234 | Import value of G&S (% of GDP) | 27 | |
| Real GDP per head | 27117 | 339 | Inward FDI (% of GDP) | -0.4 | |

Source: EIU, CIA World Factbook, UN, Heritage Foundation, Transparency International, Reporters Without Borders, World Bank.

New Zealand suffered a recession that was protracted yet mild by international standards with a 0.9% contraction of GDP in 2008 and stagnant activity in 2009. In 2010, growth resumed (+1.8%) and the recovery is expected to continue into 2011 and 2012. Growth is being supported by the large shares of exports to Australia and Asia as well as by the global surge in food prices since mid-2010. Nevertheless, the outlook for 2011 growth is rather less favourable. Economic growth almost came to an abrupt halt in 10H2 (+0.1% compared to 10H1) and GDP contracted in 11Q1, partly owing to the Canterbury earthquake in February (the Canterbury region accounts for 15% of GDP and the city of Christchurch for about 12% of total population). An 11H2 expansion is likely as overall activity normalises and is even stimulated by reconstruction activity (the IMF estimates the damage done by the earthquake to be around 7½%-GDP). The RBNZ has lowered policy rates to 2½% (from 3%) to mitigate economic risks stemming from the earthquake, which reverses the rate hikes implemented during 2010.

In terms of the composition of growth going forward, export surveys at the start of 2011 point to a pickup in exports growth, partly due to the strong performance of the agricultural sector, which remains a dominant component of New Zealand's exports, and partly due to the strong growth profile in Australia and Asia which constitute the major export markets of New Zealand. Perversely, the Kiwi dollar has softened some 5% in trade-weighted terms following the Canterbury earthquake on expectations of weaker economic performance.

Household spending was already set to slow. Consumer confidence softened in 10H2 and the earthquake delivered a further blow to sentiment, pushing confidence back down to levels normally

seen only in the midst of recessions. The unemployment rate (6.5%), while looking sanguine by European standards, remains elevated compared to New Zealand's history and employment is only now bottoming out. So the domestic backdrop remains a drag on consumer expenditure which is not necessarily bad news given the need for the economy to rebalance towards more sustainable (i.e. lower) domestic demand and improved export performance.

International investment position

New Zealand still features a number of structural weaknesses that policy has now only started to address. Most prominently, its net international investment position (the difference between international assets and liabilities) stood at about 100%-GDP in 2009, making it about as heavily indebted as the likes of Greece and Spain. The trade balance is positive, but the significant negative income balance is sufficient to pull the overall current account balance 'comfortably' into deficit territory (average 8%-GDP in the 2005-08 period). The recession has pushed the current account deficit back to 3%-GDP in 2009-10 and the recent weakening of activity combined with the agricultural boost to exports has the potential to push it back even further in the short term (with earthquake reconstruction imports a potential offset). Obviously, when push comes to shove, New Zealand has the less painful option to structurally adjust through nominal depreciation of its floating exchange rate, rather than being forced to go through painful years of internal devaluation, as is the case in European periphery countries. A risk amplifier is the fact that New Zealand's negative net international investment position consists almost entirely of debt, half of which is short-term and predominantly reflects the banking sector's net international debtor position. In this regard, New Zealand is building up a substantial financial vulnerability, with its banks borrowing short-term in international markets to extend credit domestically.

Rebalancing

This also makes the rebalancing of the economy a prominent policy challenge. For the banking sector, a core funding ratio has been introduced that aims to ensure that banks hold a sufficient funding share of retail and longer-term wholesale funding (to 75% of total funding in 2012, from 65% in 2009). Regarding household consumption, a tax reform has been implemented that hiked the goods and services tax (GST) to 15% from 12½% (October 2010), whilst cutting income and business taxes. Another policy channel through which domestic demand may be curtailed is monetary policy normalisation. Once the RBZN starts tightening, the impact on the wider economy (or transmission mechanism) should be relatively fast as many households have refinanced mortgages at variable rates, leaving themselves relatively sensitive to interest rate increases. The impact on credit quality should be limited due to the low rate of unemployment, the low level of mortgage rates that we start from, and the sustainability of government income support (i.e. unemployment and other types of welfare) given the healthiness of public finances.

According to Moody's there are no significant problems in the banking sector, although offshore funding was a potential risk that materialised during the crisis (for only a brief period, though). Fiscal and monetary policy responses helped out here. There remains ample space available for fiscal and monetary policy to do so again in the unlikely event that offshore funding evaporates yet again. The government budget balance nevertheless deteriorated from a large surplus position prior to the crisis (average surplus of 3½%-GDP in 2000-07) to a 5.5%-GDP deficit in 2010 as a result of the impact of the Great Recession (in the wake of the Canterbury earthquake this may yet deteriorate further to 9%). Therefore, the fiscal stimulus during the recession was partly paid out of the pre-crisis budget surpluses, and the low level of initial gross debt (17%-GDP in 2008) makes these deficits highly affordable. Government policy is aiming to let gross government debt peak at

about 45%-GDP by 2016, which in net terms (i.e. corrected for government's financial assets) equals about 30%-GDP.

| New Zealand | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Selection of economic indicators | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011e | 2012f |
| <i>Key country risk indicators</i> | | | | | | | |
| GDP (% real change pa) | 2.1 | 3.5 | -0.9 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| Consumer prices (average % change pa) | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| Current account balance (% of GDP) | -8.3 | -8.1 | -8.8 | -3.1 | -3.3 | 0.2 | -2.2 |
| <i>Economic growth</i> | | | | | | | |
| GDP (% real change pa) | 2.1 | 3.5 | -0.9 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| Gross fixed investment (% real change pa) | -0.9 | 5.5 | -1.3 | -11.4 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 7.9 |
| Private consumption (real % change pa) | 2.2 | 4.1 | -0.3 | -0.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Government consumption (% real change pa) | 4.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.7 |
| Exports of G&S (% real change pa) | 1.7 | 3.9 | -1.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Imports of G&S (% real change pa) | -2.5 | 8.7 | 2.6 | -14.9 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.4 |
| <i>Economic policy</i> | | | | | | | |
| Budget balance (% of GDP) | 5.3 | 4.1 | 0.4 | -3.7 | -5.6 | -6.8 | -5.9 |
| Public debt (% of GDP) | 21 | 17 | 17 | 22 | 27 | 31 | 35 |
| Money market interest rate (%) | 7.3 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
| M2 growth (% change pa) | 11 | 11 | 10 | -1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Consumer prices (average % change pa) | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| Exchange rate LCU to USD (average) | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Recorded unemployment (%) | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| <i>Balance of payments (mln USD)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Current account balance | -8933 | -10706 | -11425 | -3625 | -4632 | 300 | -3280 |
| Trade balance | -1997 | -1790 | -1705 | 1315 | 1496 | 4650 | 2040 |
| Export value of goods | 22576 | 27288 | 31192 | 25336 | 31421 | 38640 | 38160 |
| Import value of goods | 24572 | 29077 | 32896 | 24021 | 29925 | 33990 | 36110 |
| Services balance | 278 | 218 | -429 | -58 | -171 | -390 | -830 |
| Income balance | -7623 | -9540 | -10003 | -5150 | -7247 | -7200 | -6220 |
| Transfer balance | 410 | 404 | 711 | 267 | 1291 | 3240 | 1720 |
| Net direct investment flows | 4403 | -564 | 4148 | -647 | -1229 | -680 | 420 |
| Net portfolio investment flows | -952 | 10671 | -6397 | 2701 | 3220 | 3950 | 4410 |
| <i>External position (mln USD)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Total foreign exchange reserves | 14069 | 17247 | 11052 | 15594 | 16723 | 17110 | 18120 |
| International investment position | -99402 | -115091 | -93119 | -115995 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total assets | 73304 | 92082 | 74187 | 90249 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total liabilities | 172706 | 207173 | 167306 | 206244 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| <i>Key ratios for balance of payments, external solvency and external liquidity</i> | | | | | | | |
| Trade balance (% of GDP) | -1.9 | -1.4 | -1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Current account balance (% of GDP) | -8.3 | -8.1 | -8.8 | -3.1 | -3.3 | -1.9 | -2.2 |
| Inward FDI (% of GDP) | 4.2 | 2.3 | 4.0 | -1.1 | -0.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| International investment position (% of GDP) | -92.3 | -87.6 | -72.0 | -99.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Source: EIU, Rabobank

Disclaimer

This document is issued by Coöperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A. incorporated in the Netherlands, trading as Rabobank Nederland, and regulated by the FSA. The information and opinions contained herein have been compiled or arrived at from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to their accuracy or completeness. It is for information purposes only and should not be construed as an offer for sale or subscription of, or solicitation of an offer to buy or subscribe for any securities or derivatives. The information contained herein is not to be relied upon as authoritative or taken in substitution for the exercise of judgement by any recipient. All opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. Neither Rabobank Nederland, nor other legal entities in the group to which it belongs accept any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss howsoever arising from any use of this document or its contents or otherwise arising in connection therewith, and their directors, officers and/or employees may have had a long or short position and may have traded or acted as principal in the securities described within this report, or related securities. Further it may have or have had a relationship with or may provide or have provided corporate finance or other services to companies whose securities are described in this report, or any related investment. This document is for distribution in or from the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, and is directed only at authorised or exempted persons within the meaning of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 or to persons described in Part IV Article 19 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotions) Order 2001, or to persons categorised as a "market counterparty or intermediate customer" in accordance with COBS 3.2.5. The document is not intended to be distributed, or passed on, directly or indirectly, to those who may not have professional experience in matters relating to investments, nor should it be relied upon by such persons. The distribution of this document in other jurisdictions may be restricted by law and recipients into whose possession this document comes from should inform themselves about, and observe any such restrictions. Neither this document nor any copy of it may be taken or transmitted, or distributed directly or indirectly into the United States, Canada, and Japan or to any US-person. This document may not be reproduced, distributed or published, in whole or in part, for any purpose, except with the prior written consent of Rabobank Nederland. By accepting this document you agree to be bound by the foregoing restrictions.